Kathleen Krause - Kern County Breaking the Law

From: josephinewilsonportillo <josephinewilsonportillo@gmail.com>
To: <da@co.kern.ca.us>, josephinewilsonportillo <josephinewilsonportillo@gma...>
Date: 8/12/2015 2:29 PM
Subject: Kern County Breaking the Law

Kern County is telling me now that they can't reverse their erroneously created bills because the computer won't let them.

"Even though we've been telling you for 2 years that we are reversing the erroneous tax bills, we're just going to have to take your property from you and sell it because no one has the ability to override the computer system.

Please see attached picture of written law: Taxation and Revenue Code 11925 Subsection D

They are watching themselves. No one is watching, reviewing, or auditing them as a means of taxpayer protection, to provide some level of assurance that they are applying the law correctly.

Kern County has retroactively created tax bills for tax exempt transactions.

Without providing any notice, they have created these bills to include penalties and fees over time periods that did not exist. Taxpayer had no opportunity to pay them, valid or not, because they hadn't existed.

It really does appear no one has oversight authority over Kern County Tax, Title, or the Assessor's office. Anyone I've reached out to, except the DA, has referred me back to Kern County - the wrong doers themselves.

No one is willing or has authority to "investigate" the legitimacy of the tax bills. The furthest I've known anyone to go is to look up in the computer system and restate the dates they see/read as to when they are related to, and how much is owed - their just looking at the computer screen.

No one investigates on what "lawful basis" were these bills created and are they in fact lawful bills, having substantial information, discussion, review supporting evidence to establish beyond a reasonable doubt to justify the charging of taxes for a tax exempt transaction.

These bills are misleading. Just because someone enters a date or a two digit number in front of other numbers, doesn't mean that was "the date" created. In creating bills in this fashion, the time period allowing a person to redeem the property lapsed before the first receipt of the bill.

Two very telling facts are
1: bill sequence numbers are not consistent with other bills associated in PY's.
2: PY records as printed out in PY's do not include these bills.

I believe a person using the system having the authority and power, went into the Kern County computer system overriding the previously determined/approved exempt property transfer transaction - reversing
the status, Unlawfully reassessed property values, charging taxes after the fact, wherein a refund had previously been given related to the same transact. And also charging taxes and now taking my land when the property valuation wet down. How does one justify charging more taxes when the value went down? Has the tax rate increased so substantially that even though the value went down, the rate increase has resulted in hundreds of dollars in more taxes on a property that its value was only $4K to begin with?

In my mind, it has to be that someone with authority went into the computer and knowingly created tax bills to appear "as if" they were created in PY's.

I BELIEVE, THOSE AT HIGH LEVELS can create bills anytime they want to, just because they want to, then if tax payor disputes-no matter- they can take someone elses land anytime they want to just because they want to. They just make annotations in their computer system, in their favor. They override the computer system, create bills, manipulate the interpretation of those bills, the appearance and content knowingly - incorrect and misleading. Just because someone enters a date or a two digit number in front of other numbers, doesn't mean that was "the date" created. In creating bills in this fashion, the time period allowing a person to redeem the property lapsed before the first receipt of the bill. A BODY, GOVERNING ITSELF, only has to wait it out - just ignore the person making a dispute, put them off, bide the time. That's all they have to do then the matter is squashed.

But, in my case, I'm speaking up. I'm Not going away. If ultimately my land is sold before this is resolved, I will lobby against Kern County and any other government Agency having this type of authoritative monopoly and for the establishment of the formal dispute and review process.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

---
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(c) Not more than one tax shall be imposed pursuant to this part by a county, city and county or city by reason of a termination described in subdivision (b), and any transfer pursuant thereto, with respect to the realty held by a partnership or other entity treated as a partnership at the time of the termination.

(d) No levy shall be imposed pursuant to this part by reason of any transfer between an individual or individuals and a legal entity or between legal entities that results solely in a change in the method of holding title to the realty and in which proportional ownership interests in the realty, whether represented by stock, membership interest, partnership interest, cotenancy interest, or otherwise, directly or indirectly, remain the same immediately after the transfer.
any transfer between an individual or individuals and a legal entity or between legal entities that results solely in a change in the method of holding title to the realty and in which proportional ownership interests in the realty, whether represented by stock, membership interest, partnership interest, cotenancy interest, or otherwise, directly or indirectly, remain the same immediately after the transfer.

Section: Previous 11921 11922